Approved For Release 2001/08/31 : CIA-RDP79R01012A000700040007-2

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO

ONI DECLASSIFICATION/RELEASE INSTRUCTIONS THE

7 FEB 1951

SECRET

MACRANCUM

Proms Director of Mavel Intelligence.
To: Director of Central Intelligence.
(Attn:

25X1A

Subj: EIE-29: Yugoslavia, Contributions to.

Review of this document by CIA has determined that

GEA has no objection to declass

It contains information of GEA

Interest that must remain

classified at TS S G

Authority: HR 76-2

Bate 24F4-8 Reviewer

Ref: (a) GIA Asst. Director of Mational Estimates memo dated 2 Feb 1951, same subject.

1. The following information is submitted as pertinent to the indicated sections of terms of reference:

II - D. Military Vulnerability

Reliability of the Navy to present Ingoslav regime.

The Tagoslav Navy is directly subordinate to the Minister of Mational Defense under Chief of Staff Colonal General Popovic. At present, the Navy may be regarded as merely a component of and subordinate to the Army.

The Yagoslav naval forces are considered to be reliable to the present regime for the following reasons:

- (1) The Eugoslav Navy is a comparatively small force and as a result it is subject to close supervision by the security forces.
- (2) ises than 5% of the officer personnal are pre-war Royal Yugoslav mayal officers retained on the strength of their experience; the remainder are former petty officers of the former Royal Mayy, and partisan officers who received their commissioned rank for war service and for their activities with the Communist Party. The former Royal Mayal officers on active duty are looked upon with suspicion and they are under close surveillance. Consequently, political legalty rather than professional qualification is a matter of prime interest in the post-war Yugoslav Mayy, and this is particularly true in selecting new officers.
 - III. Seriet and satellite acquesic, political and military pressures

Effect of these pressures on Incoslay Navy

It is expected that the USSR and her satellites will continue

CO TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF



Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP79R01012A000700040007-2

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO

Op-322H/ret Ser 3201/001124 7 FEB 1951

Kelley

to exert political, economic and military pressures against Ingoslavia during 1951. The Ingoslav Mavy unquestionably suffered from the economic blockade imposed by the Cominform countries. As a result the Ingoslav leaders had to turn to the West in order to import the necessary fuel and propulsion machinery for the maintenance and construction of neval vessels. At present, due to lack of foreign exchange, the Ingoslav Mavy is handiempped to some extent. However, it is felt that if Tito asks for spare perts, oil and additional propulsion machinery, the West will probably furnish such aid. Provided military assistance is forthcoming, it is estimated that the continuation of the Cominform economic blockade will not further hamper the Ingoslav Naval Forces.

IV. Can the USSR and Satellites destroy the Tito regime by invasion in 1951?

Berral Invasion

- A. Under no circumstances will Soviet naval action alone play a paramount role in bringing about the downfall of the Tito regime. It is to be noted, however, that access to the Mediterranean for the Soviet Float would probably mean a considerable reduction of Western aid by sea to Yugoslavia.
- B. At present the capabilities of satellite neval forces against Yugoslavia are considered negligible.
 - C. Havel canabilities for resisting invasion.
 - (1) Yagoslav Waval Units
 - A Genstal Destroyers good condition operational
 - 2 Old Patrol Craft good condition operational
 - 3 Coastal Submarines 2 operational 1 non-operational
 - 20 Motor Torpedo Boats (at least) all operational
 - 7 Torpedo Bosts (ex-W.S.)
 - 18 Kinesweepers
 - l Einelayer

Plus several patrol craft, auxiliary vessels and numerous landing craft.

1 DD (Building - "SPLIT") - 70% complete

2 GBD's (being reconstructed) - 60% complete - small The combat efficienty of the Yagoslav Navy is considered to be poor.

Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP79R01012A000700040007-2

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO

3p-322H/ret Ser 3281/001124

T TEN 1951

acut.

- (2) Long term policy envisages an offensive-defensive system based on the employment of small coastal destroyers, motor torpede boats and coastal submarines. In 1951 the uncompleted vessels listed in paragraph C-(1) could become operational if Western aid is given. The number of PT boats could also be increased coasiderably with the help of the West.
- (3) Yugoslav naval forces are espable of successfully opposing any satellite naval invasion; however, against a determined Seviet naval attack, Ingoslavia's naval resistance would be negligible (assuming Seviet naval forces have access to the Mediterranean).
 - V. Is direct attack upon Yugoslavia by USSR or Satellites likely in 1951?

Meral Attack

- A. No indications.
- B. Reasons.
 - (1) For an attack:
 - s. To gain access to the Mediterranean Sea.
 - b. To establish naval operating bases in the strategic and well protected coast and islands of the Dalmatian coast.
 - (2) Against an attack:
 - a. The Soviet Union Maval Forces do not presently have access to the Mediterranean.
 - b. A ferced passage of the Turkish Straits by Russian mayel units would have serious intermetional consequences.

R. H. RODGEPS, by direction.

Approved For Release 2001/08/31: CIA-RDP79R01012A000700040007-2